

# The Great SCOUTdoors

## Scoring Guide



<b>PLANNING</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
	The Patrol read the instructions but did not understand the challenge.	The Patrol read the instructions and had a sound understanding of the challenge.	The Patrol read the instructions, had an excellent understanding of the challenge.
<b>TEAMWORK</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
	The Patrol had difficulty working together. Some members did not contribute or participate. Limited evidence submitted.	The Patrol cooperated, and most members actively participated. All Patrol members contributed at some point and their contribution can be seen in the submitted evidence.	The Patrol cooperated effectively, and all members actively participated. All Patrol members contributed to a high standard and their contribution can be seen in the submitted evidence.
<b>CREATIVITY</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
	The Patrol ideas were not creative. The evidence lacks any evidence of imagination.	The Patrol ideas are creative but unoriginal. The evidence illustrates an attempt at an imaginative solution.	The Patrol ideas are inventive and original. The evidence illustrates outside the box thinking and ingenuity.
<b>COMPLETION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
	The Challenge was attempted.	The Challenge was completed to a moderate standard.	The Challenge was completed to an exceptional standard.
<b>REVIEW</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
	The Patrol did not review their efforts.	The Patrol reviewed their submission but did not identify areas of improvement.	The Patrol reviewed their submission and identified areas of improvement.
<b>RANGE CHECK</b>	<b>&lt;5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>11-15</b>
	Very poor effort	In comparison to other Patrols, the patrol could have done better. There are aspects of planning, teamwork, and creativity that could be improved.	The Patrol performed well. They showed positive elements of planning, teamwork, and creativity leading to a successful outcome.

